G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR 14 7-94

894 95

192

(LR)

File No 153-94

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

In ~~Part B~~ for November 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Kaliaiah, son of Lingappaiah regarding the Standing of the Tamarakuru Village, Chennagiri Taluk. —

Countermarked Ink.

Judicial

W.D. 2228—G.P.S.—20,000 11-6-26

in omitting to record a finding that it was contrary to Equity & justice to have deprived them of such a right without any cause -

3. That the respondents father Veerasamappa had the office of Chambosse under dispute. Subsequently the appt respondent and his brother having preferred an appeal to the Collr Superintendent of Nuffar Division an order was passed declaring to confer the office on the family - In pursuance of the said order the appellants uncle Sivaramia Nair Pragasi was appointed to the office in 1865 - The lower Courts without admitting the evidence and orders relating to the above appointment reject the Suit on the Examination of the first decision above referred to - This is wrong.

4. That though the respondent had been barred by time to regain his Rank yet it is contrary to Law to disregard the orders made by the Courts & before the organization of the Maharaja's Council -

5. That the respondent, previous to the passing of the first decision above referred to, should have instituted a regular Suit and regained his Rank of which he was deprived in pursuance of order passed during the Regime of late Collr of Nuffar Division and Judicial Commissioner this he failed to do and submitted a miscellaneous petition to Govt - who Expressed an opinion to the effect that the Rank might be restored to him - This has been a source of much injustice to the appellant.

6. That both the appellant and the respondent belong to one family - Both of them are Holders to the office of Chambosse under dispute - But the circumstance

Si le fort-sel, destiné au service
de la ferme, est vendu par quantité
fallu au sel marin, le déficit
Si le fort n'a pas été déclaré à la police
le bail à la requête, lorsque le
sera passé à Etablissement, à la requête
de la police sel, requis de nouveau sel
fait, si l'on a arrêté à sel sur
à portion, le premier galon est
saisi à la vente. Le déficit
est à bail à sel, requis le fort
aussi passé en nouvelle
petition de serment, si le déficit
soit de sel sel sel
sel sel sel. Le
requis fait dans le service
du sel à la police
de la police
sel, requis en sel sel, requis
en appellation sur sel Sieur

7. Met dans après le jugement, sel
est le officier le jugement au bureau
à police sel donné ce 21 mars
1814. Je dois le sel au bureau
procureur du roi, le jour
dans dans le sel officier
en le secrétaire officier jugement
fallu au sel à procureur
sel sel sel
sel sel sel
officier, signé en sel sel
le officier procureur sel, signé
en appellation sur sel Sieur

en Hélium no 2491
Jtls.

Lieut - Col. Hon. Rec. Prosecutor, his
Counsel has more opposing the petitioner.

8. That the petitioner having been appointed
Asst. Offr. which he and his family have
been obliged to forsake, hence his
by the decision of Govt. he has no
(30/3/1893 he has been quite unable
to travel for want of pecuniary help.

Prays that he may be paid up the pension
commuted with the case since 1864. the
decision of Govt. may be, therefore, be
be modified and the petitioner be
heard

The petitioner further states that he is
simply dancing the case before
the Offr. as the board of Public Petitions
rejected his petition on Public Petitions
to Ballagunge.

That as he cannot afford to pay the
stamp for the appeal to the Govt.
this petition

That he may be excused and the case
admitted for hearing

That if the appeal should be found
proper the petitioner stamp of the same
should be supplied.

Prays that the petitioner may be
pardoned this and that he may
may be decided to have all the costs.

4/3

dec 21
31
28
11
91

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2275
DATE 11-3-95

10
2298

Presented by Kallikal Engr.
11/3/95

5

13-6-95

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

ﻣﻨﻈﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﺘﺠﺎﺭﺓ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻟﻤﻴﺔ ﻟﻠﻤﻠﻜﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻔﻜﺮﻳﺔ

ﺍﻟﻮﻳﺒﻮ ﺍﻟﺪﻭﻟﻲ ﻟﻠﻤﻠﻜﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻔﻜﺮﻳﺔ ـ ﺍﻟﻤﻨﻈﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻟﻤﻴﺔ ﻟﻠﻤﻠﻜﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻔﻜﺮﻳﺔ ـ ﺍﻟﻤﻨﻈﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻟﻤﻴﺔ ﻟﻠﺘﺠﺎﺭﺓ ﻭﺍﻟﺘﻨﻤﻴﺔ ـ ﺍﻟﻤﻨﻈﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻟﻤﻴﺔ ﻟﻠﺘﺠﺎﺭﺓ ﻭﺍﻟﺘﻨﻤﻴﺔ ـ ﺍﻟﻤﻨﻈﻤﺔ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻟﻤﻴﺔ ﻟﻠﻤﻠﻜﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻔﻜﺮﻳﺔ ـ ﺍﻟﻮﻳﺒﻮ ﺍﻟﺪﻭﻟﻲ ﻟﻠﻤﻠﻜﻴﺔ ﺍﻟﻔﻜﺮﻳﺔ ـ

22980/95 LR 153-94-2

Appl[illegible] - Submitted in Rev. Comm.

22/8/95

Gen. Serial No. 32.] 1895

Petition No. 2298 was submitted to the Commissioner on 22nd inst.

DR 1/1660

No 24/5

Submitted to Commissioner

24/5

Note:
This was found in file No. 175 of 1892 (sale of Kunnuga Stone) - received yesterday from Mr Parana - Shivappa

Resubmitted for orders

27/6/95

27-6-95

No. 3030

Name of Petitioner. Rabia Bee of Sampagi

Village. Sanvarkeri

Taluk. Honnavar

District. Kanara

Date of Appl. 8-5-95

Date of Receipt. 13-5-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petr. states that he purchased his applnd. land about 18 years ago - on 11-3-95 that his case was entertained for hearing & that he was asked to produce - Petr. states that he has produced the required documents & that he is entitled for the grant of the land applnd. for - Petr. prays that the land may be granted to him.

Note. Petr. has not produced any receipt to prove that he has paid the assessment - Petr. should be asked to produce the receipts - Petr. should not be recommended for the grant - Petr. should be asked to produce the receipts - Petr. should be asked to produce the receipts for the land applnd. for.

Order suspended as Rm the regd. not submitted - vomit according to 13-6-95

Rm

Rm 24/5

Rm. Please forward the papers

Sd Open file by 3rd/6/95

25/6

Sd

6/7

Order suspended as Rm the regd. not submitted - vomit according to 13-6-95

Rm

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3030
DATE 13-

No
3030

11

(11)

[illegible]

LR 153-94-3 (12) 13

2298.

Draft

Rev. Secy

2295/94

Open file No. 33 of 94 LR 153-94-4.

Gen. Serial No. 201

1895 (3)

No. 3502

Name of Petitioner. Kattaiya - Karaiyalan & another

Village. Karaikkal

Taluk. Chidambaram

District. Tanjore

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 25-6-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Ord 11/7

Sheriff is fined up.

Reg 6/7

DR 1/7

146/89

Appl's pr return
dismissed -
within 30 days.

The appeal petition the order upon which a copist's return was itself an insufficient stamp - Petr was informed that the petition filed by him & the appeal thereon (in this proceeding) are not maintainable.

Sd/- T. Rm Sundara Aiyar

Ord 22/7

The petition bears no stamp of Receipt whatever

24-7-95

Ord 24/7

Accepted

Fees

25/7

26-8-95

30-7-95

3502

Encl. (13)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REGD. NO. 3502 OF
DATE 25-6-95

95

94-95

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8.

9.

W.P. No. 14-93

13 JUN. 95

2298

Counter Affidavit on behalf of the respondents

I, [illegible] aged about 45 years, Son of [illegible] working as Revenue Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Hyderabad, do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:

I am working as Revenue Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Hyderabad and I am fully conversant with the facts of the case. I am filing this counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents as I am authorised to do so. The petitioner has filed the above writ petition challenging the G.O.Ms.No.195 Revenue (Assignment-I) Department, dated 11-3-95 and the consequential proceedings in Rc.No.2298/94-A1 dated 28-3-95 of the Collector, Nellore. The petitioner has also filed an application for interim relief. The petitioner has not come to this Hon'ble Court with clean hands and has suppressed material facts. The petitioner has no cause of action to file the writ petition and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed in limine. The petitioner has no locus standi to file the writ petition. The petitioner has not made out any case for interim relief and the application for interim relief is liable to be dismissed.

The averments in the writ petition are denied except those that are specifically admitted herein. The averments in the affidavit filed in support of the application for interim relief are denied except those that are specifically admitted herein.

The petitioner has filed the writ petition challenging the G.O.Ms.No.195 Revenue (Assignment-I) Department, dated 11-3-95 and the consequential proceedings in Rc.No.2298/94-A1 dated 28-3-95 of the Collector, Nellore. The petitioner has also filed an application for interim relief. The petitioner has not come to this Hon'ble Court with clean hands and has suppressed material facts. The petitioner has no cause of action to file the writ petition and the writ petition is liable to be dismissed in limine. The petitioner has no locus standi to file the writ petition. The petitioner has not made out any case for interim relief and the application for interim relief is liable to be dismissed.

The averments in the writ petition are denied except those that are specifically admitted herein. The averments in the affidavit filed in support of the application for interim relief are denied except those that are specifically admitted herein.

[illegible]

"By Order"

Revenue Secretary.

LR 153-94-5

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 5334

Name of Petitioner. Arumugam Pillai Son of Arunachalam Pillai

Village. Veeranam

Taluk. Chidambaram

District. South Arcot

Date of Arst. 15-8-95

Date of Receipt. 19-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Petn that he had been constantly in the employ of Arumugam as his servant for 3 years - that his master having paid the rent due by petnr for fasli 1303 refused to pay the rent due for fasli 1304 - that petnr paid the rent due for fasli 1304 on 11-3-95 to the pot. tahsildar - that he had his crops attached for arrears of rent - that his land attached will be sold for the rent due by his master - that he has been ejected from his property - that he has been unlawfully deprived of the profits of his cultivation for fasli 1304 - prays for relief.

Ord 27/8

Ci. No 2298

Petn No 32 of 1895

Petn No 32 of 1895

Petn No 32 of 1895

COURT FEE

Rs. Rupees Only

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 833 R
DATE 19-8-95

8B3

Date
Time

4

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

6 ಹೀಗೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಂಶ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಪರಿಹಾರ

ಸೇವಾ ನಿಯಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯದೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಘ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರು ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ, ಸಂಗೀತ, ಕಲೆ, ಕ್ರೀಡೆ, ಸಮಾಜ ಸೇವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇಂತಹ ಇತರೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಲು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಘ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅಥವಾ ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರು ಯಾವುದೇ

(15)

LR 153-94-6

833.